



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,219	07/17/2006	Ruben Arturo Munoz Huerta	1108JW-60654	2708
38441 7590 08/24/2009 LAW OFFICES OF JAMES E. WALTON, PLLC 1169 N. BURLESON BLVD. SUITE 107-328 BURLESON, TX 76028				
EXAMINER GANEY, STEVEN J				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3752				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
08/24/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/586,219

**Applicant(s)**

MUNOZ HUERTA ET AL.

**Examiner**

STEVEN J. GANEY

**Art Unit**

3752

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 April 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 4-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 4-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/ICE)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**SUPPLEMENTAL DETAILED ACTION**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on April 2, 2009, which has been fully considered in this action. The following Supplemental Office action supersedes the previous Office action mailed July 23, 2009, due to the heading and a number of paragraphs referring to a 35 U.S.C. 102(b) rejection of claims 3, 4 and 10, which was inadvertently left in the Office action and should have been deleted. The examiner apologizes for any inconvenience this may have caused the applicant. The shortened statutory period for reply will be reset to expire 3 months from the mailing date of this communication.

2. The indicated allowability of claims 5 and 6 is withdrawn in view of the previously cited reference to Schmidt. Rejections based on the previously cited reference follow. Examiner apologizes to applicant for any inconvenience this action causes at this stage in prosecution.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmidt.

Schmidt discloses a shower head comprising a connection member 12; a spherical portion 16 with a central conduit 24 and longitudinal conduits 23; body member 26 with a plurality of

conduits in floor member 30 passing there through, see Figure 2; an impulse member 34 with a plurality of outlet conduits 36 arranged in two concentric circles; a ring member having a conical interior surface, see Figure 2, except the central conduit being funnel-shaped. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the entrance to central conduit to be funnel-shaped, since such a funnel-shape is well known in the shower head art to provide a smooth flow transition from one flow conduit to another and reduces turbulence and cavitation of the liquid flowing through conduit and the showerhead.

Schmidt discloses all the featured elements of the instant invention, except for four longitudinal conduits equidistantly spaced within the body member, each outlet conduit having a rectangular cross-sectional area, and the outlet conduits being arranged in 4 concentric circles.

As to claim 7, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide four longitudinal conduits equidistantly spaced, since it has been held that the mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

As to claim 8, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide each outlet with a rectangular cross-sectional area, since such a modification is merely a matter of obvious design choice depending on the type of spray desired from the shower head.

As to claim 9, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the outlet conduits arranged in 4 concentric circles since it has been held that the mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 USPQ 8.

***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEVEN J. GANEY whose telephone number is (571)272-4899. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00; Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on 571-272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Steven J. Ganey/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3752

sjg